

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/751,069 | 12/29/2000 | Ravindra R. Mantena | YOR920000555US1 | 9009 |
| 75 | 90 08/12/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| WAYNE F. REINKE, Esq. | | | LIPMAN, JACOB | |
| HESLIN & RO | THENBERG, P.C. IRCLE | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| ALBANY, NY | | | 2134 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004 | ر |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| | | | /) | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | So | | | | |
| Office Astron Communication | 09/751,069 | MANTENA ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | V | | | | |
| | Jacob Lipman | 2134 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | opears on the cover sheet w | vith the correspondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thid will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | cation. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 i | December 2000. | | | | | | |
| ·-· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | • | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allows | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-84 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-84 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | awn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10. The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2000 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination 11. | /are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ e drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing | nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. nts have been received in a ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National Stage | ; | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4. | Paper No | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

- 1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on January 8, 2004 has been considered by the examiner.
- 2. Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide the following information that the examiner has determined is reasonably necessary to the examination of this application.
- 3. In response to this requirement, please provide copies of each publication that describe the disclosed subject matter of ERPNet. It appears that Candle has been acquired by the assignee, and thus the examiner has asked for this information. Specifically information that was demonstrated at the demos mentioned in the disclosed publication.
- 4. The applicant is reminded that the reply to this requirement must be made with candor and good faith under 37 CFR 1.56. Where the applicant does not have or cannot readily obtain an item of required information, a statement that the item is unknown or cannot be readily obtained will be accepted as a complete reply to the requirement for that item.

Drawings

5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference character(s) mentioned in the description: 200, first mentioned on page 6 line 13.

Art Unit: 2134

6. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 217, in figure 2.

7. Corrected drawing sheets, or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the drawings and description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 3-26, 31-54, and 59-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 10. Claims 3, 31, and 59 each recite the limitations "the ERP" and "the front end". There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

Art Unit: 2134

11. Claims 11, 39, and 67 each recite the limitation "a token identifier" twice in line 3. It is unclear if the second token mentioned is the same as the first, or possibly a second token.

12. Claims 16, 44, and 72 each recites the limitation "the second server" and "the first server". There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

13. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in thisOffice action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 14. Claims 1-7, 10, 11, 15, 16, 23, 29-35, 38, 39, 43, 44, 51, 57-63, 66, 67, 71, 72, and 79, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cohen et al., US Patent number 6,286,028

The applied reference has a common Assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the

Art Unit: 2134

inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

With regard to claims 1, 29, and 57, Cohen discloses a method for communicating (column 3 lines 31-34) between public (front-end) and private environments (backend) including routing information from the public to the private environment (column 3 lines 51-62), obtaining a reply within the private environment (column 3 line 63-column 4 line 4), and returning the reply to the public environment (column 4 lines 5-8).

With regard to claims 2, 30, and 58, Cohen discloses the back end can be ERP (column 5 lines 15-19).

With regard to claims 3-5, 31-33, and 59-61, Cohen discloses using middleware to communicate messages (column 13 lines 22-27).

With regard to claims 6, 7, 10, 15, 16, 23, 34, 35, 38, 43, 44, 51, 62, 63, 66, 71, 72, and 79, Cohen discloses that the front end can include a browser over the Internet (column 10 lines 54-60).

With regard to claims 11, 39, and 67, Cohen discloses an operation ID that is transferred with the communication (column 6 lines 43-59).

15. Claims 1-7, 10, 11, 14-17, 22-27, 29-35, 38, 39, 42-45, 50-55, 57-63, 66, 67, 70-73, and 78-83, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by ERPNet, as disclosed in applicant's IDS as Dialog File 20, accession No. 02821200.

With regard to claim 1, ERPNet discloses a method for communicating between public (front-end) and private environments (back-end) (paragraph 6,

Art Unit: 2134

beginning "using") including routing information from the public to the private environment (paragraph 7), obtaining a reply within the private environment, and returning the reply to the public environment (paragraph 8).

With regard to claims 2, 30, and 58, ERPNet discloses the back end is an ERP (SAP R/3).

With regard to claims 3-5, 31-33, and 59-61, ERPNet discloses using middleware to communicate messages (MQSeries or MSMQ).

With regard to claims 6, 7, 10, 15, 16, 23, 34, 35, 38, 43, 44, 51, 62, 63, 66, 71, 72, and 79, ERPNet discloses that the front end can include a browser over the Internet (paragraph 6).

With regard to claims 11, 39, and 67, ERPNet discloses tracking the communication (paragraph 9).

With regard to claims 14, 17, 42, 45, 70, and 73, ERPNet discloses sending the communication across a firewall (paragraph 14).

With regard to claims 22, 50, and 78, ERPNet discloses sending the communication over a private network (VPN, paragraph 6).

With regard to claims 24-27, 52-55, and 80-83, ERPNet discloses using SAP as the EPR and either MQSeries or MSMQ as the middleware (paragraph 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to

Art Unit: 2134

be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

17. Claims 8, 9, 12, 13, 18-21, 28, 36, 37, 40, 41, 46-49, 56, 64, 65, 68, 69, 74-77 and 84, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ERPNet.

With regard to claims 8, 9, 12, 13, 18-21, 36, 37, 40, 41, 46-49, 64, 65, 68, 69, and 74-77, ERPNet discloses sending the information over the Internet as outlined above. ERPNet does not disclose encrypting the information. The examiner takes official notice that information sent over the Internet is frequently encrypted using SSL. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to encrypt the data sent through ERPNet with SSL to improve security.

With regard to claims 28, 56, and 84, ERPNet discloses using SAP as the ERP, but does not specifically mention BAAN. The examiner takes official notice that BAAN is a known ERP. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to communicate with a BAAN ERP using ERPNet's disclosed method for the disclosed motivation, "to reduce the time and effort required to conduct global industrial-strength business-to-business ERP transactions" (paragraph 2).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 703-305-0716. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 4:00 (M-Th).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The

Art Unit: 2134

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SCHNOLOGY CENTER 2100